

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
12 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING  
MOTION TO DISMISS LEVIN SIMES  
PLAINTIFFS**

13 This Document Relates to:

Re: Dkt. No. #####

14 *Jane Doe LS 293 v. Uber Technologies,*  
15 *Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-04364-CRB*

16 *Jane Doe LS 231 v. Uber Technologies,*  
17 *Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-04367-CRB*

18 *Jane Doe LS 144 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-04388-CRB*

19 *Jane Doe LS 112 v. Uber Technologies,*  
20 *Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05286-CRB*

21 *Jane Doe LS 284 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05363-CRB*

22 *Jane Doe LS 126 v. Uber Technologies,*  
23 *Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05370-CRB*

24 *Jane Doe LS 265 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05377-CRB*

25 *Jane Doe LS 200 v. Uber Technologies,*  
26 *Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05387-CRB*

27 *Jane Doe LS 66 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05414-CRB*

28 *Jane Doe LS 317 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv-05424-CRB*

1 *Jane Doe LS 234 v. Uber Technologies,*  
2 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-05433-CRB

3 *Jane Doe LS 191 v. Uber Technologies,*  
4 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-05573-CRB

5 *Jane Doe LS 273 v. Uber Technologies,*  
6 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-05946-CRB

7 *Jane Doe LS 470 v. Uber Technologies,*  
8 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05207-CRB

9 *Jane Doe LS 232 v. Uber Technologies,*  
10 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05327-CRB

11 *Jane Doe LS 373 v. Uber Technologies,*  
12 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05328-CRB

13 *Jane Doe LS 462 v. Uber Technologies,*  
14 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05329-CRB

15 *Jane Doe LS 226 v. Uber Technologies,*  
16 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05330-CRB

17 *Jane Doe LS 166 v. Uber Technologies,*  
18 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05331-CRB

19 *Jane Doe LS 122 v. Uber Technologies,*  
20 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05332-CRB

21 *Jane Doe LS 202 v. Uber Technologies,*  
22 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05333-CRB

23 *Jane Doe LS 416 v. Uber Technologies,*  
24 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05335-CRB

25 *Jane Doe LS 305 v. Uber Technologies,*  
26 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05338-CRB

27 *Jane Doe LS 201 v. Uber Technologies,*  
28 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05354-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 189 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05379-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 272 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05390-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 199 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05402-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 279 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05420-CRB

1 *Jane Doe LS 139 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05527-CRB

2 *Jane Doe LS 487 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05611-CRB

3  
4 *Jane Doe LS 141 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05634-CRB

5 *Jane Doe LS 423 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05676-CRB

6  
7 *Jane Doe LS 491 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05678-CRB

8 *Jane Doe LS 441 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05751-CRB

9  
10 *Jane Doe LS 518 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05761-CRB

11 *Jane Doe LS 319 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05800-CRB

12  
13 *Jane Doe LS 484 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05824-CRB

14 *Jane Doe LS 4 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05861-CRB

15  
16 *Jane Doe LS 368 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05898-CRB

17 *Jane Doe LS 274 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05902-CRB

18  
19 *Jane Doe LS 359 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05908-CRB

20 *Jane Doe LS 342 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05913-CRB

21  
22 *Jane Doe LS 304 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05914-CRB

23 *Jane Doe LS 369 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05915-CRB

24  
25 *Jane Doe LS 269 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05922-CRB

26 *Jane Doe LS 93 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05925-CRB

27  
28 *Jane Doe LS 7 v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,*  
*et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05926-CRB

1 *Jane Doe LS 504 v. Uber Technologies,*  
2 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05928-CRB

3 *Jane Doe LS 180 v. Uber Technologies,*  
4 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05936-CRB

5 *Jane Doe LS 119 v. Uber Technologies,*  
6 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-05937-CRB

7 *Jane Doe LS 197 v. Uber Technologies,*  
8 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-06003-CRB

9 *Jane Doe LS 314 v. Uber Technologies,*  
10 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-06016-CRB

11 *Jane Doe LS 188 v. Uber Technologies,*  
12 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-06022-CRB

13 *Jane Doe LS 230 v. Uber Technologies,*  
14 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-06026-CRB

15 *Jane Doe LS 209 v. Uber Technologies,*  
16 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-06033-CRB

17 *Jane Doe LS 532 v. Uber Technologies,*  
18 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-06927-CRB

19 *Jane Doe LS 534 v. Uber Technologies,*  
20 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:24-cv-07142-CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Having considered Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Levin Simes Cases for Failure to Comply with Discovery Orders, the Court finds that the Plaintiffs subject to Defendants’ Motion have violated Pretrial Order No. 10, ECF No. 348, and Judge Cisneros’s December 19, 2024 Order, ECF No. 1995, by failing to provide complete and verified Plaintiff Fact Sheets. Plaintiffs’ failure to provide complete and verified fact sheets has caused prejudice to Uber.

The Court therefore hereby ORDERS as follows:

1. Each Plaintiff subject to Defendants’ Motion must provide a complete and verified Plaintiff Fact Sheet within 14 days of this Order—*i.e.*, by no later than \_\_\_\_\_.
2. Uber shall submit a declaration no later than \_\_\_\_\_, identifying which, if any, Plaintiffs have not complied with the Court’s Order as of that date.
3. If Levin Simes LLP (“Levin Simes”) disagrees with the inclusion of any Plaintiff(s) identified in Uber’s declaration, Levin Simes shall submit a declaration no later than \_\_\_\_\_, identifying the date when such Plaintiff(s) submitted a complete and verified Plaintiff Fact Sheet.
4. The Court will dismiss with prejudice, under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 41(b) and 37(b)(2)(A)(v), the claims of any Plaintiff who does not comply with Paragraph 1 of this Order.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2025

\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. CHARLES R. BREYER  
United States District Court Judge